



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/651,680

08/30/2000

Anil K. Goyal

52886-9

2023

22504 7590 03/05/2007
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP
2600 CENTURY SQUARE
1501 FOURTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688

EXAMINER

PASS, NATALIE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/05/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/651,680

Applicant(s)

GOYAL, ANIL K.

Examiner

Natalie A. Pass

Art Unit

3626

All Participants:

(1) Natalie A. Pass.

(2) George C Rondeau, Jr. (Reg. No. 28893).

Status of Application: _____

(3) Heather Colburn (Reg. No. 50815).

(4) Joseph Thomas.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

independent claim 28

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOSEPH THOMAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Discussion included suggested amendments to the claim language to put the claim in better condition for allowance; for example, it was suggested that requiring the step of determining a number of monetary contributions associated with both the positive and negative rating categories would add concreteness to the commentary building and help to overcome the rejections under 35 USC §112 and 35 USC §101.

Examiner will consider any changes made to the claim language.